

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PAPER NUMBER

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 7255 10/681,666 10/08/2003 Kevin I. Bertness C382.12-0169 **EXAMINER** 27367 7590 03/07/2006 WESTMAN CHAMPLIN & KELLY, P.A. TSO, EDWARD H

WESTMAN CHAMPLIN & KELLY, P.A. SUITE 1400 - INTERNATIONAL CENTRE 900 SECOND AVENUE SOUTH MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-3319

DATE MAILED: 03/07/2006

ART UNIT

2838

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				1-1
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/681,666	BERTNESS, KEVIN I.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Edward H. Tso	2838	
The MAILING DATE of this c Period for Reply	ommunication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PEI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of - If NO period for reply is specified above, the mailing to reply within the set or extended perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended perio	THE MAILING DAT provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(this communication. aximum statutory period will d for reply will, by statute, cae months after the mailing day.	E OF THIS COMMUNICATION a). In no event, however, may a reply be to apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1) Responsive to communication	n(s) filed on <u>09 Jan</u>	uary 2006.		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL.	2b)⊡ This a	ction is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in co				
closed in accordance with the	e practice under Ex	parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending	in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn	from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowe	d.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are rejected				
7) Claim(s) is/are objecte				
8) Claim(s) are subject to	o restriction and/or e	election requirement.		
Application Papers				
9) ☐ The specification is objected	to by the Examiner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on	_ is/are: a)☐ accep	ted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.	
•	•	awing(s) be held in abeyance. So		
	-		bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is obj	ected to by the Exar	miner. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a a) All b) Some * c) No	ne of:		a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the	•		Alam Na	
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	have been received in Applica		
3.		y documents have been receiv	red in this National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office			ved.	
Attachment(s)		_		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing F 	Paviou (PTO 040)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I		
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing F Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC Paper No(s)/Mail Date			Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/681,666

Art Unit: 2838

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim1-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bertness (Us 6,316,914) in view of Applicant's own admitted art on page 11. The references do not explicitly disclose an integral torch/light to illuminate the area of testing. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have integrated the light onto the testing probe, since it has been held that the constituent parts are so combined as to constitute a unitary whole. Webster's New International Dictionary (2nd Edition) defines "integral" as "(2) composed of constituent parts making a whole; composite; integrated." Therefore the use of a one piece construction instead of the two or more pieces would be merely a matter of obvious engineering choice. *In re Larson*, 144 USPQ 347 (CCPA 1952); *In re Fridolph*, 50 CCPA 745, 89 F.2d 509, 135 USPQ 319.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 1/9/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that "the office action does not show any reference or in

Art Unit: 2838

the knowledge of one skilled in the art to integrated a torch with the tester. The Examiner disagrees. Combining a light source with a device is a common and well known in all the art for the purpose of directing light straight to the object being investigated and furthermore, it frees the hand from holding the light. For example, these references '138, '180 and '273 all show an integrated light onto the device being used. Even publication '591 shows same.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to the Examiner at the below-listed number on every Tuesday, Thursday and Saturday.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Karl Easthom, can be reached at (571) 272-1989 on Monday-Thursday.

Application/Control Number: 10/681,666

Art Unit: 2838

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the receptionist at (571) 272-2800, Monday-Friday, 8:30am to 5:00pm, EST.

By:

EDWARD H TSO Primary Examiner (571) 272-2087 Page 4